

~~SECRET~~

SECRET

50X1-HUM

In all, seven tests were carried out on 53 animals; 27 cats were subcutaneously injected with oxygen, while 26 were kept as control. The results of these tests are given in the appended table.

Out of the 27 cats that were subcutaneously injected with oxygen, 22 died (80 percent), whereas out of the 26 control animals, 15 died (58 percent). Discounting the treated cats, which died of subacute pneumonia, we find that 20 animals of this group died of acute emphysema. The survival periods show that during the first 8 hours the fatalities among the control and treated cats were almost identical. Most of the treated animals and all of the control animals died during the following 2 days. Of the treated cats, four died 3 - 7 days later, i. e., after the control cats had died.

To clarify the effect of subcutaneous oxygen injections on the extent of emphysema in the dead animals, the pulmonary coefficient, specific gravity of the lungs, and the cardio-pulmonary coefficient, (weight of lungs per gram of heart) were determined by autopsy. Analysis of the results shows no essential difference in these coefficients in the test and control animals. For example, the pulmonary coefficient of the treated animals which died within 10 hours after poisoning was 30 - 33 and 29 to 32 for the control animals. The corresponding specific gravity for the lungs were 0.6 - 0.62 and .63 - 0.68. Similar results were obtained from other survival periods for the test and control animals. On the basis of this data we conclude that oxygen injection has no noticeable effect even on the course of toxic emphysema. This was confirmed by data on the changes in the hemoglobin estimate which was almost identical in both the control and test animals.

We detected a difference only in the condition of the pulse and respiration between the test and control animals: the prolonged and well defined bradycardia and increased respiration rate were more pronounced in the treated cats.

There was a definite difference in the resorption of the subcutaneously injected oxygen in each of the animals. A comparatively small number of the treated cats resorbed the gas from subcutaneous tissues more rapidly than the others. The general condition of these particular animals seemed to be considerably better and the pulse and respiratory abnormalities were also less marked. However, in the final stage, this condition had no effect on the outcome of the poisoning in the final stage and therefore does not warrant considering oxygen therapy valuable.

The slightly higher mortality rate among the treated animals enables one to suppose that subcutaneous injection of oxygen does not preclude the possibility of the mechanical irritation in subjecting the test animal to excessive physical strain. On the other hand, one cannot rule out the possible influence of chemical or physicochemical factors (Weichardt).

On the strength of the above results, we can consider that subcutaneous injection of oxygen does not produce a beneficial effect on the prognosis and course of toxic emphysema. Yet, again, doubt is cast on the viewpoint of certain authors (Fisherton) who consider subcutaneous injection of oxygen a supplementary vicious subcutaneous respiration.

Conclusions

1. Subcutaneous oxygen injections in cats poisoned with diphosgene are not beneficial.
2. Subcutaneous oxygen injections have no effect on the dynamics of toxic emphysema in cats poisoned with diphosgene.

SECRET

SECRET

50X1-HUM

3. The only beneficial effect of subcutaneous oxygen injections on cats poisoned with diphosgene is observed in the pulse (equalization of subnormal pulse) and respiration. However, this beneficial effect has no actual influence on the prognosis.

4. The speed with which oxygen is resolved from subcutaneous cellular tissue in cats poisoned with diphosgene does not correspond to the degree of anemia and evidently depends on the individual peculiarities of the test animals.

- 3 -

SECRET

SECRET

50X1-HUM

**SURVIVAL TIME OF TEST ANIMALS (CATS) POISONED WITH DIPHOSENE AND
TREATED WITH SUBCUTANEOUS INJECTION OF OXYGEN**

Test	Concen- tration of Di- phosgene (mg/l)	No of Test Animals		No of Dead Treated Animals							Total
		Treated	Con- trol	First 8 Hr.	8 - 18 Hr.	After 1 day	After 2 days	After 3 days	After 4 days	After 7 days	
1	0.2	4	4	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	2
2	0.29	2	2	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	2
3	0.23	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1
4	0.24	4	4	1	2	-	-	-	1	-	4
5	0.342	3	3	-	2	1	-	-	-	-	3
6	0.26	6	5	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	4
7	0.293	6	6	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	6
Total	"	27	26	6	10	2	-	1	2	1	22
Mortality (%)		-	-	22	36	7	-	4	7	4	80

Test	Concen- tration of Di- phosgene (mg/l)	No of Test Animals		No of Dead Control Animals							Total
		Treated	Con- trol	First 8 Hr.	8 - 18 Hr.	After 1 day	After 2 days	After 3 days	After 4 days	After 7 days	
1	0.2	4	4	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	2
2	0.29	2	2	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	2
3	0.23	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4	0.24	4	4	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	2
5	0.342	3	3	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1
6	0.26	6	5	1	3	-	-	-	-	-	4
7	0.293	6	6	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	4
Total		27	26	5	6	1	3	-	-	-	15
Mortality (%)		-	-	19	23	4	12	-	-	-	58

- E N D -

- 4 -
SECRET